

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/076,949	02/12/2002	Thomas Huber	2050.072US1	3402	
44367 SCHWEGMA	7590 04/02/200 N. LUNDBERG & WC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 293	8	SHANG, ANNAN Q			
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55402-0938		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2424		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

_							
10/076,949		Applicant(s)					
		HUBER ET AL.					
		Art Unit					
	ANNAN Q. SHANG	2424					

F	ANNAN Q. SHANG	2424					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	r than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	which the netition under 37 CER 1.1	36(a) and the appropriate	a extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (a) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any serined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or</li> </ul>		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a col NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mnliant Amendment (	PTOL -324)				
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  _	. Coo attached Notice of Notifical	inpliant / inchanton (i	102 024).				
<ol> <li>Application to provide a member of the transmission of the provided and the pr</li></ol>							
7. Mean For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or mended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: none.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-28.32 and 33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and swas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a</li> </ol>	rcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but d	loes NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
	/Annan Q Shang/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2424					

Continuation of 13. Other: With respect to the rejection of the last office action mailed 01/07/09, Applicant amends claim 1, discusses the prior arts of record and the claimed invention and further argues that the prior arts of record do not meet the claims limitations and furthermore the 103(a) rejection using the prior arts of record is not proper (see page 11+ of Applicant's Remarks).

In response, Examiner notes Applicant's amendments/arguments, however the Examiner disagrees. With respect to the amended claim, Kitsukawa falcoses presenting visual image of the product to a user (see figs.5-11). Kitsukawa further teaches all the claims ilmitations including checking by CPU-29, if a plurality of versions exist for the selected product and presenting the different versions to the user (col.10, line 22+). Kitsukawa, further teaches using a user settings and preferences for processing of information and configuration of the system (col.5, line 58-col.6, line 18). Kitsukawa teaches that following the selection of advertising mark 720, the CPU-29 checks and displays different versions and upon a further selections the CPU-29 again checks and displays fifterent versions as abovain in 705, i.e., when a car ads is selected the CPU-29 checks the various versions and displays car, car tires, type, car detailing services, car repair services, etc., (plurality of versions). Kitsukawa is silent as to comparing more than one version of the product with previously collected and stored preference information associated with the viewer. However, Zigmond discloses techniques for intelligent video ad insertion and further discloses comparing ads with previously collected and stored preference information associated with the viewer (figs.1, 4-8, col.4, line 53-col.5, line 14, col.9, line 14, col.9, line 14, col.0, li